

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,675	09/13/2006	Piero Del Soldato	026220-00055	3644
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 10/01/2007	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			LAU, JONATHAN S	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/509,675	DEL SOLDATO, PIERO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jonathan S. Lau	1609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		,				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,		- .			
Attachment						
1) Unotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/509,675

Art Unit: 1609

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction Requirement

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to a compound having the general formula $A-(B)_{b0}-(C)_{c0}-N(O)_s$, a composition containing said compound, or a method of preparing a composition containing said compound. (see Examiner's Note below)

Group II, claim(s) 8-9, drawn to a method of treatment by administering said compound or composition. (see Examiner's Note below)

Examiner's Note: Claims 1-9 recite "use" claims that have been held to be non-statutory and/or indefinite regarding the invention claimed. Examiner has interpreted claims 1-7 to be drawn either to the compound, a composition containing said compound, or to a method of preparing a composition containing said compound; and interpreted claims 8-9 to be drawn to a method of treatment by administering said compound or composition.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or

Application/Control Number: 10/509,675

Art Unit: 1609

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common feature between the inventions of Group I and II is the compound having the general formula A-(B)_{b0}-(C)_{c0}-N(O)_s. Compounds of said general formula are known products. See Arena et al., formula (XII) on column 6, lines 13-21 (US Patent 5,621,000, provided by Applicant on PTO-1449 filed 8 Oct 2004). Therefore said compound is not the special technical feature of a single inventive concept. The special technical feature of the invention of Group I is the specific chemical structure of the compound. The special technical feature of the invention of Group II is the specific method of treatment using a specific compound.

Species Election Requirement

Both inventions of Group I and II in this application contains claims directed to more than one species of compound of the generic invention. The invention of Group I contains claims the species of compounds. The invention of Group II contains claims to methods of treatment using the species of compounds. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The specific compounds with defined chemical structures, for example 2-fluoro-alpha-methyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-acetic acid 3-(nitrooxymethyl)phenyl ester, formula (VII^C), disclosed in claim 7.

Art Unit: 1609

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable to assist in beginning examination of this application. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

All claims are generic to the species of compound.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Compounds of said general formula are known products. See Arena et al., formula (XII) on column 6, lines 13-21 (US Patent 5,621,000, provided by Applicant on PTO-1449 filed 8 Oct 2004). Therefore said compound is not the special technical feature of a single inventive concept. The special technical feature of the invention of Group I is the specific chemical structure of the compound. The special technical feature of the invention of Group II is the specific method of treatment using a specific compound.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a_snon-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/509,675

Art Unit: 1609

Conclusion

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan S. Lau whose telephone number is 571-270-3531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9 am - 4 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718 or Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSL

Cecilia J. Teang ਜ਼ਿੰਦਾਸ਼ਵਾਈsory Patent Examiner ਵਿਵਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਨlogy Center 1600